3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition No...2566.../2002

Petitioner - Naresh Kumar Meshram
aged about 25 years,
S/o Late Shri Prem Das Meshram
resident of Hathkhojpara;
Utai, Tah. & Distt. Durg (C.G.).

Vs.

Respondents (1) Chairman,
Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board,
Shakti Bhawan,
Rampur, Jabalpur (MP).

(2) Chairman,
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Dangania,
RAIPUR.

(3) Superintending Engineer
C.S. Electricity Board,
DURG.

(Through - Respondent No. 2)

P.R. No. 2528/02
Presented by Shri ...
dated ... 12.11.02

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR CERTIORARY OR PROHIBATION AND OR WRIT OF ANY OTHER NATURE AND/OR ORDER OR DIRECTION.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

25

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 2586/02 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

26-3-2004

Shri R. M. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.3.

Heard both the counsel.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India whereby the petitioner has prayed for directing the respondents to consider and appoint the petitioner on compassionate ground.

The petitioner's case is that his father was working under the respondents as Lineman and his father while serving under the respondents had expired on 18-11-99. The application of the petitioner for compassionate appointment has been forwarded to the higher authorities by respondent No.3 vide letter dated 21-2-2000. But, respondent No.1 has not taken any action on the application of the petitioner and kept the application pending for a long time.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.2 has issued appointment orders in respect of 31 persons on compassionate ground. Counsel further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondents 1 & 2 and respondents 1 & 2 may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner to which the learned counsel for respondent No.3 has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondents 1&2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn,

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

26

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	respondents 1&2 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation considering all the grievances of the petitioner and will pass a reasoned order. In view of this order, I.A. No 9596/2003 stands disposed of. With the aforesaid directions, this writ petition is disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Barve/Sunita